UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,221	11/20/2003	Kim Seah Goh	303798 46623	9025
Pillsbury Wintl	7590 08/29/200 uron LLP	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Pro		KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER		
Suite 2800 725 South Figu	eroa Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, C		3763		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/718,221	GOH, KIM SEAH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Christopher D. Koharski	3763	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Christopher D. Koharski	3763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	<u> </u>	correspondence add	ress					
 THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 	etter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re		the issues for					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			(DTOL 204)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,13,14,23 and 24. Claim(s) rejected: 1-2, 5-12, 15-22 and 25-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the form of the data of filters a N	1-4: £ A 1 1	at he autored					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered be 								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:								

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has amended claims 3, 13 and 23 in accordance with the objected to allowable subject Applicant but has additionally presented amended independent claims 1, 11, and 20 which raise new considerations and elemental/operational elements/functions drawn to the cylindrical sleeve and sheath, and therefore change the scope of the applicant's claim and would require further additional search and consideration.

00/2 9/28/1202

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700